1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) United States Attorney	
2	BRIAN STRETCH (CSBN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5 6 7 8 9	DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 63405) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13		
14) CR No. 08-0329 JSW
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
16) EXCLUDING TIME FROM MAY 16, 2008) THROUGH JUNE 12, 2008
17	V.	
18	TIMOTHY CRAIG,	
19	Defendant.	
20		.)
21	On May 16, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court and stipulated that	
22	time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from May 16, 2008 through	
23	June 12, 2008. The parties represented that granting the continuance was necessary for	
24	continuity of counsel and effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of	
25	//	
26	//	
27	//	
28		

1	due diligence, to afford counsel time to prepare and produce additional discovery, review the	
2	additional discovery, and to meet and confer pursuant to local rules. See 18 U.S.C. §	
3	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).	
4		
5	SO STIPULATED:	
6	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO	
7	United States Attorney	
8		
9	DATED: May 16, 2008 /s/ Denise Barton	
10	DENISE MARIE BARTON Assistant United States Attorney	
11	, and the second	
12	DATED: May 16, 2008 /s/	
13	STEVEN G. KALAR Attorney for TIMOTHY CRAIG	
14		
15		
16	As the Court found on May 16, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that	
17	the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the	
18	defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act	
19	calculations May 16, 2008 through June 12, 2008 for effective preparation of counsel. See 18	
20	U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the	
21	defendant continuity of counsel and reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of	
	counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of	
22	justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).	
23		
24	SO ORDERED.	
25		
26	DATED:	
27	HONORABLE EDWARD M. CHEN United States Magistrate Court Judge	
28		